## PATENT SPECIFICATION

(11) 1 604 803

(22) Filed 31 May 1978 (21) Application No. 25859/78 (31) Convention Application No. 801953 (32) Filed 31 May 1977

(31) Convention Application No. 836985 (32) Filed 27 Sept. 1977

(31) Convention Application No. 836986

(32) Filed 27 Sept. 1977

(31) Convention Application No. 836943

(32) Filed 27 Sept. 1977 in

(33) United States of America (US)

(44) Complete Specification published 16 Dec. 1981

(51) INT CL<sup>3</sup> B27K 3/08

(52) Index at acceptance

DIP WK

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

(72) Inventor WILLIAM CHEATOM KELSO JR.

## (54) WOOD PRESERVATION

We, FOREST PRODUCTS UTILIZATION LABORATORY a (71)Government agency of the State of Mississippi, United States of America, of State College, Mississippi 39762, United States of America, do hereby declare the invention, for which we pray that a patent may be granted to us, and the method by which it is to be performed, to be particularly described in and by the following

This invention relates to the pressure impregnation of wood with water-borne wood treatment materials to improve the properties of the wood. More particularly, this invention relates to a process for the impregnation of wood in which water-borne wood treatment materials are deposited within the wood, as by precipitation or chemical affixation, in a manner that combines the effectiveness and other advantages of the full-cell process with low residual liquids in the wood that can be obtained with empty-cell processes.

The present invention contemplates the use of what basically may be considered to be an "empty-cell" process as distinguished from a "full-cell" process. The essential difference between these two processes lies in the fact that in the full-cell process, the liquid forced into the wood is retained by the wood after impregnation. In contrast to this, in the empty-cell process, most of the treatment fluid is expelled from the wood after impregnation. The terms "full" and "empty derive from the fact that the cells of the wood are substantially filled with impregnants in the full-cell process, but tend only to be coated with the impregnant in the empty cell process.

The full-cell process makes use of a vacuum/pressure impregnation cycle in which the wood is first placed under vacuum and then, without admitting air, the treatment vessel is filled with the treatment liquid. After the wood is fully immersed in the liquid, the pressure is increased to perhaps ten atmospheres or so and the liquid is forced into the wood. After the wood has been treated to refusal, or until a predetermined gross absorption of the treatment liquid has been achieved, the pressure is relieved and the treatment fluid is drained from the vessel. Usually a short vacuum cycle follows to remove excess fluid from the surface of the wood.

The distinctive feature of the empty-cell process is that at the end of the process, the pressure is reduced to, and preferably below, the pressure within the wood prior to impregnation so that a kickout of treatment liquid will result. The most common way to achieve this is to place the wood under pressure prior to the time that it is contacted with the treatment liquid. This initial pressure is maintained during the time that the treatment vessel is filled with the treatment liquid and then the pressure is increased to a second higher pressure, forcing the treatment liquid into the wood against the air pressure initially established within the wood. As a result, when the pressure is relieved, the air compressed within the wood expands and expels much of the liquid that was forced into the wood. Equivalent results can be obtained by starting the impregnation while the wood is





10

5

15

20

25

30

35

5	at or slightly below atmospheric pressure and then pulling a vacuum at the end of the treatment cycle. In any event, it is common to establish a vacuum in the treatment vessel after the impregnation pressure has been relieved to increase the recovery of the treatment liquid and shorten the period of time in which liquid will drip from the surface of the wood. The liquid expelled from the wood by the difference between the internal and external pressures is referred to as "kickout".  The empty-cell process is especially advantageous as compared with the full-	5
10	cell process for treating wood with water-borne materials since the wood, after treatment, is ready for economic shipment, further treatment, or immediate use since there is no need to dry the wood to remove the treatment liquid, which may be toxic, from the interior surfaces of the wood.	10
15	Despite the inherent advantage of ending up with "dry" wood, the empty-cell process has not, for some purposes, been of practical utility in impregnating wood for a number of different reasons. For example, when treating wood with water-soluble preservatives (e.g., chromium/copper/arsenic salts known as "CCA salts"), the kickout that is inherent in empty-cell processes cannot economically be reused since the kickout will include water-soluble reducing substances leached from the	15
20	wood (e.g., hemicelluloses), which, it is generally believed, react with the CCA salts to precipitate a dense sludge that fouls the equipment. This makes it impossible to recycle kickout without periodically removing insolubles, and further, the kickout cannot be discharged as waste because of its toxicity and the danger it poses to the environment. For these and other reasons, such as the preferential rate at which the CCA salts are extracted from solution, it has become standard practice to avoid	20
25	problems attendant with recycling or otherwise disposing of depleted CCA solutions by using full-cell techniques and simply letting the treatment liquid remain in the wood until it evaporates which, if air dried, may take up to several months.	25
30	In addition to CCA salts, another effective and commonly used wood preservative that is difficult to use in empty cell processes is chlorinated phenol, most commonly the tetra and penta chlorophenols (collectively referred to as "PCP"). PCP is not soluble in neutral or acid solutions and, in order to form an impregnating solution, it is conventional to dissolve PCP in high-boiling	30
35	petrochemicals, Stoddard's solvents or kerosene. Empty-cell impregnation methods are sometimes used to coat the cell walls with the preservative solution since the hydrocarbon solvents must be recovered to make the process economic and to prevent bleeding of the oil-based solution which would make it impossible to apply conventional finishes to the wood. However, even though most of the	35
40	treatment solution is removed from the wood in empty-cell processes, the amount of solvent consumed represents the major portion of the cost of materials in impregnating the wood with PCP.  To reduce the cost of the oil-based solvents, other processes have been replacing the empty-cell ones in which the solvent is a low boiling liquid that may	40
45	be recovered and reused. Typically, in these processes, low-boiling aliphatic hydrocarbon solvents for PCP are volatilized after impregnation, leaving a water-insoluble residue of PCP within the wood. These processes are not without attendant disadvantages which include the increased cost of treatment apparatus, the need to recover the gasified solvents, both for purposes of economy and	45
50	environmental protection, and, as has been suggested in the literature, the protection afforded the wood is less lasting when volatile solvents are used.  A further disadvantage in the use of low-boiling hydrocarbon solvents is that the protection afforded the wood at its outer surface is sometimes less than satisfactory. It has been suggested that the reason for this lies in the fact that during	50
55	volatilization, some of the PCP adjacent the surface of the wood is carried away and the amount of PCP left at this region is inadequate to protect the wood.  This invention provides an improved process for the pressure impregnation of wood; the process being a modified empty-cell process that may economically be used for preserving wood with common wood preservatives such as CCA salts and	55
60	More particularly the invention provides an improved process for the pressure-impregnation of wood with water-borne preservatives in which the retention of treatment materials within the wood is maximized and the retention of the liquids within the wood is minimized.	60
65 <sup>-</sup>	The process of this invention moreover provides improved surface protection of wood impregnated by PCP compared with a process in which low-boiling hydrocarbons are utilized as solvents. Briefly, the process of this invention	65

		2
• .	1,604,803	
2	1.004.003	_

3	1,604,803	
5	comprises pressure impregnating wood with a water-borne treatment material, detaining the impregnated wood under pressure for a sufficient time for the water-borne treatment materials to precipitate on or otherwise react with the wood, and then reducing the pressure to at least, and preferably below, the pressure within the wood immediately prior to impregnation so that a significant kickout can be obtained. By these means, the amount of treatment materials retained within the wood is maximized and the retention of the liquids within the wood is reduced to a minimum.  In the case of treatments with CCA salts, the process of this invention is of particular utility since the kickout is substantially depleted of metal ions and	5
	recovery or disposal problems are largely avoided. Further, the treated wood as discharged from the treatment vessel is substantially "dry" which permits immediate use, shipment, or even subsequent treatment, such as impregnation with creosote to meet requirements for marine applications.	
15	This invention is based on the observation that the treatment materials can be reacted in situ during pressure impregnation which makes it possible to protect wood, in the case of PCP, without the use of hydrocarbon solvents. In the practice of this invention, sodium penta (or tetra) chlorophenate can be dissolved in an alkaline solution, the wood impregnated with the solution, and the solution	15
20	detained within the wood without permitting kickout to occur, until the natural acidity of the wood causes precipitation of water-insoluble PCP within the wood. If the acidity of the wood is not sufficient to precipitate PCP at a useful rate, the wood may be first treated in an empty-cell process with an acid, such as acetic acid, prior to impregnation with the sodium pentachlorophenate solution. It is believed	20
25	that by acidifying the pentachlorophenate to form the insoluble PCP precipitate in situ in the wood, the retention of the PCP and protection of the wood is made more lasting than is achieved when using either volatile or non-volatile petroleum-based solvents.  The process of the invention comprises placing the wood in a pressure	25
30	treatment vessel at an initial elevated pressure; introducing an aqueous treatment liquid into the vessel at the elevated pressure; increasing the pressure within the vessel to above the initial pressure to impregnate the wood with the treatment liquid; maintaining the pressure within the vessel sufficient to retain the treatment liquid in the wood at a temperature of at least 65°C for a period of at least one hour	30
35	after impregnation is complete until at least 90% of the treatment materials carried in the treatment liquid become affixed to or deposited within the wood, reducing the pressure within the vessel to or below the initial pressure to permit the expulsion of spent treatment liquid from the wood; and collecting the spent treatment liquid	35
40	The reaction between the treatment materials and the wood is greatly accelerated when the wood is heated during the time the impregnating solution is held within the wood. Suitable times and temperatures will vary substantially, depending upon the species of wood being treated and the nature of the treatment liquid, but can easily be determined by simple experimentation.	40
45	By way of example, representative data has been obtained in treating Southern pine with CCA salts and it was found that temperatures ranging from about 65°C to 120°C are useful. At temperatures lower than these, the reaction rates are inconveniently slow, and at higher temperatures, damage may be done to the wood. A preferred temperature range for treating Southern pine with CCA salts is from about 82°C to 115°C and, more preferably still, from about 93°C to 110°C.	45
<b>50</b> 55	Treatment times will vary considerably and, depending on the temperature used in the treatment cycle, satisfactory results have been obtained using treatment times of from one to seven hours. Longer times can, of course, be used, but in the interest of productivity of the process, no purpose is served in prolonging the treatment time after the desired reactions have neared or reached completion.	50
	In the Examples which follow all percentages are on a weight basis.  EXAMPLE 1  A charge of kiln-dry (15% moisture content) Southern pine tomato stakes and grape stakes consisting of thirty tomato stakes measuring 1"x1"x72" (1.25 cubic	
60	feet) and 15 grape stakes measuring 1-5/8"×1-5/8"×72" (1.65 cubic feet) was given a modified empty-cell treatment with a 2.0% (oxide basis) solution of CCA as follows:  An initial pressure of 10 p.s.i. air was introduced into the treatment vessel and held for five minutes. The vessel was then filled with the CCA solution without	60

_4					1,604,803				4
		perio	d by incre	easing the	pressure was pressure 10 oved while n	p.s.i. at f	ive minute	intervals.	
5	35.9 lbs/cu.f	t.	-		solution dur			•	5
	temperature for a half he	of 11	6°C being	reached i	in one hour s	ind then h	eld at this t	emperature	
10	A kick- The charemoved an retention ob	back arge value of the second	vas expos ighed to I during t	sed to a 2 determine reatment	was 10.22 lb	um for control of the	one hour be	efore being et solution	10
15	treatment of	f this	charge ga	we the fol	solution ar solution ar solution ar	ia kick-de lts:	ick resultin	g from the	15
				•		%	Reduction	of:	
	Sample	pН	%CuO	%СгО,	%As <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	CuO	CrO <sub>3</sub>	As <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	
	Treatment solution	1.5	0.345	0.958	0.718		_		
20	Kick-back	3.1	0.021	0.016	0.019	93.9	98.3	97.4	20
25	moisture co	ntent) e of l	Southern	pine by	om acid cop steaming be pine 6-1/2"	fore perm	itting the k	ick-back to	25
30	A. Preservat A 2.38% commercial sulfate (anh acid (anh)di	& solu (Celc vdrou	tion (oxid ure) cond s), 5.01%	entrate o	f this preser lichromate (	vative co anhydrou	ntaining 3.8 s), and 0.20	% chromic	30
35	preservative p.s.i. and he	initial solut ld for preser	l air was h ion at this two hou vative sol	s pressure, rs. ution was		essure was	then incre	led with the ased to 140 ntaining the	35
40	A kick- starting the s kick-back sa The gro lbs/cu.ft.	back steam ample oss ab	sample wing by mo was 3.85 sorption	as taken a mentarily of preserv	reducing the ative during	pressure g the pres	slightly. Th sure period	i was 29.29	40
45	pressure on A kick- The sar removed an	the c back : mple ' d weij	ylinder. sample ta was expo ghed to d	ken at the sed to a 2 etermine	net solution	steaming of uum for of retention	ycle had a one hour b	pH of 5.45. efore being	45
50	The net the gross ab A disc o oven to dete	soluti sorpti obtain rmine	ion retent ion was re ed from the its average	ion obtain educed by he middle ge moistur	over 20 lbs of this samp e content. It	eatment w √cu.ft. le after tre s moisture	as 9.09 lbs/o atment was content ex	cu.ft. so that s dried in an pressed as a	50
55	per cent of Analysi and Cr gave original trea before steam Sample 4 w	the reatment ning: S	esults indi- t solution Sample 3 v	cated in th ; Sample was the kid	ie table belo 2 was the k :k-back aftei	w. In this t ick-back a impregna	table, Samp after impre ition and ste	le I was the gnation but	55

5	1,604,803	5

Sample  1 2 3 4	pH 3.40 3.85	% CuO 0.717 0.628	) 0	eduction f CuO	% CrO	% R	eduction f CrO <sub>3</sub>	
2 3 4	3.40 3.85				1.661			
3 4		0.628						
3 4	E AE			12.41	1.527		8.07	
•	5.45	0.014		98.06	0.027		98.37	
1004 CT	5.50	0.025		96.58	0.009		99.46 Lindicated	
complete p	sc obtaine enetration	by the pr	reservative	aner trea	atment and	steaming	, marcarea	
Ba(OH) <sub>2</sub> so could be pr Analys	olution price ecipitated is of the l	or to the tr in the woo cickout af	eatment word so that the Co	an empty with CCA they woul CA impre	d not conta gnation cy	ne if the w minate th cle showe	ood sugars e kickout. ed that the	I
reduction of	of the treat	ment solu	tion in Ci	10 was 10	0.00% and	99.56% ir	CrO <sub>3</sub> and	1:
Enougi concentrati	ion un to 1	2.0% (oxid	le basis).		rtion of the er two we		to bring its	
laboratory, not, to any	indicating	that the v	vood suga	rs were p	recipitated	in the wo	od and did	20
CCA salts a table, the c was expose indicates the vessel had the ultimatimpregnation the vessel temperatur. The original treating the deposit holding time steaming te the wood a second control of the c	olumn head prior to be pressured to the pressure on pressure was mained. The pressure was mained that were temperature ion of the pressure of	arough 6, sing process ing process ided "Inition impregning that was with the truture reache was importained at the retained at the retained at the retained at the company of the truture of truture of the truture of	s conditionial p.s.i." in ation. The ations of the conditions of t	f Southerns, as shown dicates to ecolumn ed within liquid. The aperiod "Holding pregnation centage ock, and e wood. It wo hours.	the pressure headed "I the treating of about Time" is the pressure of the activithe percentote that its not increaproach conthis, Example CCA sal	e to which the to which the term of the te	to the wood tion p.s.i."  I after the ature" was, after the of time that steaming the active es 4 and 5 e ambient, wen after a ws that at a eposited in	3
	itial Impr psi tio	egna- Stea n psi T	aming H emp.	olding Time	% CuO	% CrO <sub>3</sub>	% As <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	
4	10 10	00 N		3 hrs.	0.382	1.010	0.718	
5	10 1	10 N	one (	ó hrs.	0.331	0.962	0.692	
6	10 12		9°C 2	2 hrs.	0.314	0.904	0.819	4
	<del></del>	ck-back			Retained Sa		_	
Example	% CuO	% CrO <sub>3</sub>	% As₂O₅	% CuO	% CrO <sub>3</sub>		) <sub>5</sub>	
	0.139	0.425	0.070	63.6	57.9	90.3		
	0.096	0.338	0.170	71.1	64.8	75.5		5
6	6 0.011 0.006 0.017 96.5 99.3 97.9  EXAMPLE 7  A piece of kiln-dry Southern pine 5-3/4" in diameter and 18" long was							J

Results	of	Acid	Tests:
---------	----	------	--------

	Sample Distance from	Prec	ipitate:	
	Wood Surface (inches) 0.0 to 0.5	Present very slight	Absent	
50	0.5 to 1.0		yes	50
	1.0 to 1.5		yes	30
	1.5 to 2.0		yes	
	2.0 to 2.5		yes	
	2.5 to 3.0		yes	

F. Moisture content after treatment:

A disc 3/4" thick was sawn from the middle of the treated pole section and oven-dried to determine the average moisture content immediately after treatment. The moisture content of this disc was 38.6%.

55

7	1,604,803	7
	EXAMPLE 8  In Example 7 the acidity of the wood was solely relied upon to reduce the pH of the treatment solution to precipitate PCP within the wood. In this Example the wood was first treated with acetic acid in a modified empty-cell process prior to	
5	impregnation with the pentachlorophenate solution to determine if the added acidity would make the process more efficient.  A sample of Southern pine wood was placed in a treatment vessel and placed	5
10	under 10 psi air pressure. Without relieving this pressure, a 0.8 molar solution of glacial acetic acid was introduced into the vessel and the air pressure was increased to 120 psi and held for two hours. The acetic acid was drained away from the wood while maintaining 120 psi air pressure and the wood was then steamed for three hours at 90°C. The pressure was then relieved and a vacuum pulled for one hour.	10
15	Immediately following the above acid treatment of the wood, the identical process operations were repeated using a 4% alkaline solution of sodium pentachlorophenate as the impregnate.  After treatment with the PCP, the kickback was found to contain less than 0.008% PCP, thus indicating that over 99.8% of the PCP in the treatment solution was deposited in the wood. A test for leachable PCP as described in Example 1	15
20	above was run and the results were negative, indicating that the PCP precipitated in the wood could not be leached in observable quantities (i.e., greater than 100 ppm) by boiling the treated wood in water.  Borings were made in the treated wood and the amount of PCP deposited in the wood was determined at various depths by lime ignition:	20
25	Sample PCP (lb/ft³) 0.0—0.5" 1.1077 0.5—1.0" 0.8462 1.0—1.5" 0.7918 1.5—2.0" 0.7663	25
30	The above Examples 7 and 8 demonstrate that the chemically precipitated PCP is tightly held and is not leachable, except in trace amounts. It is at once obvious that by achieving this fixation of the PCP within the wood, the effectiveness of the wood preservative will be maintained over prolonged periods of time.	<b>30</b>
35	A secondary benefit which is gained in affixing the PCP within the wood lies in the fact that the potential danger of PCP to the environment is greatly reduced when the PCP is tightly held within the wood. In contrast to the results obtained through the practice of this invention, when conventional high-boiling	35
40	petrochemical solvents are used and PCP is not precipitated in the wood but is held in solution by organic solvents, the PCP may leach from the wood over a period of time, depending upon such factors as the type of soil and other ground conditions to which the wood is exposed, changes in temperature, barometric pressure, humidity, and other ambient conditions.	40
45	EXAMPLE 9  A charge of air-dried (25% moisure) pine fence posts about 4"×8'6" in size were placed in a pressure vessel and an initial air pressure of 20 psi was introduced into the treatment vessel and held for about five minutes. The vessel was then filled with a CCA solution (see Table 1) without relieving the initial pressure, and the	45
50	pressure was increased to 140 psi over a period of about 55 minutes. The temperature of the CCA impregnating solution was about 32°C and the gross absorption achieved was 31.4 lb/cu.ft.  When the required gross absorption had been obtained steam was admitted to the coils in the cylinder and the CCA solution in the cylinder was heated to 93°C within 30 minutes and this temperature was maintained for 60 minutes. No	50
55	precipitation of CCA salts from the treatment solution was noted during this heating cycle.  At the end of the heating period, the CCA solution was removed from the cylinder without permitting the pressure on the system to change, following which the pressure on the system was released to collect the kickback separate from the	55
60	CCA solution. The temperature of the kickback solution was 82°C. A final vacuum of 25 in. Hg. for 60 minutes followed after releasing the pressure on the system.  The wood was removed from the cylinder and weighed. It was determined that the net preservative solution retention was 7 lb/cu.ft.	60

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

Results of analyses performed on the CCA before treatment, after treatment and heating and on a sample of the kickback after heating are contained in Table 1:

TABLE 1			
Metal	Content (%	oxide	basis)

					****		
5	Solution	pН	CuO	CrO <sub>3</sub>	As <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	Total Salt	:
	CCA before treatment CCA after treatment	1.92	0.304	0.870	0.643	1.817	
	and heating	2.03	sub	stantially t	he same as	s above	
	Kickback	4.18	0.008	0.017	0.022	0.047	
10	An increment core v	vas taken f	rom midle	ngth of eac	h post for a	inalysis for Cu.	10

An increment core was taken from midlength of each post for analysis for Cu, Cr and As retention after treatment. The cores were cut into 1/2" segments and similar segments were combined for analysis. Results for these analyses are contained in Table 2:

TABLE 2
Retention of Metals—lb/cu.ft.
(Oxide Basis)

Depth from Surface (inches) **Total Salt** CuO CrO<sub>3</sub> As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 0.0 to 0.5 0.765 0.161 0.352 0.2510.5 to 1.0 0.123 0.244 0.019 0.385 1.0 to 1.5 0.074 0.177 0.014 0.265

The modified empty-cell process of this invention has been described primarily with regard to the impregnation of wood with sodium chlorinated phenates and CCA salts, but it can readily be understood by those of ordinary skill in the wood treatment arts that the invention is of utility in treating wood with other wood conditioners. For example, the water-borne materials may include such things as wood-softening agents, antichecking agents, film-formers, coloring agents, flame retardants, antistatic agents, dimensional stabilizers, polymerizable mixtures and additional wood preservatives. The process of this invention may also be used to leach materials, such as sugars, from wood, or, conversely, to precipitate sugars in the wood prior to a subsequent preservation step. The pH of the treatment liquid can be adjusted to maximize solubilization of the sugars and, if it is the object to fix the sugars in the wood, cations, such as barium or copper, may be included in the treatment liquid to form insoluble products with the sugars.

The modified empty-cell process of this invention makes it possible to conduct a plurality of sequential impregnations without the intervening drying or curing steps required in the full-cell process. For example, substantially immediately after wood is treated with CCA salts in accordance with this invention, it may be impregnated with an oil-based preservative. Another example would be to follow the CCA treatment with a PCP treatment. This increases the degree of protection for the wood and is advantageous if arsenic salts must be excluded from the treatment liquid because of environmental hazards.

In a further modification of this invention, CCA salts can be deposited at the surface regions of wood while the wood is being treated with a solution of PCP and liquified hydrocarbon. When the pressure is relieved on the system, volatilization of the hydrocarbon will cause kickout of the CCA treatment liquid.

In the above examples, the treatment solution was removed from contact with the wood (while maintaining impregnation pressures) prior to the time that the wood was heated. This is not essential and, if desired, the temperature of the wood may be raised by heating the impregnating solution (as by steam coils) prior to the time it is drained from the vessel. In another variation of the practice of this invention, the treatment solution may, in some instances, be heated prior to the time it is introduced into the vessel. Also, the treatment liquid may be drained from the vessel while maintaining sufficient pressure to avoid kickout, and steam or a second liquid used to heat the wood.

In this latter regard, a liquid may be introduced into the vessel while maintaining sufficient pressure to prevent kickout. If a hot water bath is used for

5

10

15

20

25

45

50

55

60

25 collecting the spent treatment liquid. 2. A method according to Claim 1 wherein the aqueous treatment fluid

30

35

40

45

50

55

60

contains a wood preservative. 3. A method according to Claim 2, wherein the wood preservative contains

salts of copper, chromium or arsenic. 4. A method according to Claim 2, wherein the wood preservative is a sodium 30 chlorophenate.

5. A method according to Claim 4, wherein the aqueous treatment fluid is an alkaline solution of sodium pentachlorophenate.

6. A method according to any one of Claims 1 to 5, wherein the wood is heated to a temperature within the range from 65°C to 120°C. 35 7. A method according to any one of Claims 1 to 6, wherein the wood is heated by heating the treatment fluid.

8. A method according to any one of Claims I to 6, wherein after impregnation is complete excess treatment liquid is drained from the vessel.

9. A method according to Claim 8, wherein the wood is heated by steam.
10. A method according to Claim 8 or Claim 9, wherein the wood is heated 40 with a heated second treatment liquid.

11. A method according to Claim 10, wherein the second treatment liquid contains wood treatment agents selected from the group consisting of coloring agents, wood-softening agents, antichecking agents, film formers, flame retardants,

antistatic agents, polymerizable mixtures and additional wood preservatives.

12. A method according to any one of Claims 4 to 11, wherein the wood is pretreated with an acid.

13. A method according to Claim 12, wherein the acid is acetic acid. 14. A method according to any of the preceding claims wherein the wood is pretreated using the method of Claim 1 with hot water or steam as the aqueous

treatment liquid. 15. A method according to any of the preceding claims wherein the wood is

pretreated with metal ions to precipitate sugars within the wood. 16. A method according to Claim 1, wherein the wood is impregnated with a solution of pentachlorophenol and a low-boiling hydrocarbon or chlorinated solvent for the pentachlorophenol before the aqueous treatment liquid is introduced into the vessel and wherein the aqueous treatment liquid contains CCA

17. A method according to any one of Claims I to 16, wherein the treated wood is further impregnated with creosote.

18. A method as claimed in Claim 1 conducted substantially as described in any of Examples 1 to 3 and 6 to 9 herein.

19. Impregnated wood whenever obtained by a method as claimed in any of Claims 1 to 18.

REDDIE & GROSE, Agents for the Applicants, 16, Theobalds Road, London, WCIX 8PL.

Printed for Her Majesty's Stationery Office, by the Courier Press, Leamington Spa, 1981
Published by The Patent Office, 25 Southampton Buildings, London, WC2A IAY, from which copies may be obtained.